(4)

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No. 171/07 ~~2006~~ 2007

Petitioner ..... Shyam Kishore Vaishnav
Aged 41 years
S/o Shri Laxman Vaishnav,
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primery School,Revali,
Centre Tarra ,Tahsil Patan
District, Durg
(C.G.)

P.R. No. 08/07
Presented by Shri Basant Kumar
dated 02/01/07

V E R S U S

Respondents ... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Education Department
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The District Education Officer,
Durg (C.G)

RECEIVED COPY
2/1/07
CLERK to A. G, BILASPUR

Writ petition under articles 226 of the Constitution of India.

(7)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 171 OF 2007

Petitioner : Shyam Kishore Vaishnav

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 172 OF 2007

Petitioner : Purshotamlal Chandrakar

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 173 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Usha Tamrakar

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 174 OF 2007

Petitioner : Anil Kumar Choubey

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.175 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Pritabha Mourya

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.176 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Rita Pawar

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.177 OF 2007

Petitioner	:	Bhagwat Prasad Sahu
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO. 178 OF 2007

Petitioner	:	Parasram Sahu
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.179 OF 2007

Petitioner	:	Kashi Ram Verma
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

---

Present : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners. Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 17th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Government Advocate appearing for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** vs. ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** vs. ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Bablu